

**1.45020/01/2014-Pers-II
Government of India
Ministry of Home Affairs
Police.II Division**

North Block, New Delhi
Dated the 06.08. 2014

To

**Shri Piyush Verma, Judge,
Jalaun at Urai, Uttar Pradesh -285 001**

Subject:- RTI, Act, 2005- Action taken on letter/petition dated 07.06.2014 - regarding
Sir,

Please refer your RTI application dated 10.06.2014 received through Shri S.Samanta, Under Secretary, RTI Cell, MHA, New Delhi vide his OM No.A-43020/01/2014-RTI dated 04.08.2014 on the subject cited above.

2. You are informed that your representation/letters dated 07.06.2014 has been sent to DIG(CR & Vig)CRPF Directorate, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi vide MHA's UO No.I-45020/4/2014-Pers.II dated 16.07.2014 (Copy enclosed), as the reply/action is falling in the domain of CRPF. Therefore, aforesaid RTI application is also being transferred under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to CPIO (HQ) CRPF for giving a reply/information directly to you.

3. In case you are not satisfied with this reply, the appeal in the case lies with Dr. Nirmaljeet Singh Kalsi, Joint Secretary (Police-II), MHA. The appeal may be filed within 30 days from the date of receipt of this reply.

Encls: As above

Yours faithfully,



(Shantanu)

Director (Pers) & CPIO
23092933

Copy along with a copy of RTI application dated 10.06.2014 of Shri Piyush Verma, is transferred under Section 6(3) of RTI, Act, 2005 to:-

1. Ms. Anupam Kulshreshtha, DIGP(Adm) & CPIO, CRPF, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi for giving the reply directly to the applicant on above dated RTI application.
2. IT Cell, MHA for uploading the data on MHA's website.



(Shantanu)
Director (Pers) & CPIO
23092933

Copy to:

1. Shri S.Samanta, Under Secretary, RTI Cell, North Block, MHA with reference to his OM dated 04.08.2014.



(Shantanu)
Director (Pers) & CPIO
23092933

Government of India
Ministry of Home Affairs,
Pers-II Desk.

Subject:- Complaint dated 07.6.2014 against Shri Sandeep Yadav, DIG, CRPF-reg.

In continuation to this Ministry's UO of even number dated 14.7.2014, the undersigned is directed to forward herewith a copy of complaint dated 07.6.2014 made by Shri Piyush Verma, Judge, Civil Court, Jalaun (UP) against Shri Sandeep Yadav, DIG CRPF, for taking further necessary action/comments in the matter.

Encl: As stated



(R.P.Sati)

Under Secretary Govt. of India

23092889

ATC

DG CRPF:{Shri Vivek Vaid, DIG(CR & Vig)}

MHA UO No.1-45020/4/2014-Pers-II dated 16.7.2014

Amish

①

18/7/14

To be issued in Hindi

RTI 63/Dir & Pers 114

6/8/14

RTI MATTER/ TIME BOUND

No. A. 43020/01/2014-RTI
Government of India/Bharat Sarkar
Ministry of Home Affairs

New Delhi, Dated the 4th August, 2014

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject:-Information sought by Shri Piyush Verma under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

The undersigned is directed to refer to the application dated 10/06/2014 under the RTI Act, 2005 of Shri Piyush Verma (received in this Ministry on 24/07/2014) wherein the applicant has sought information about action taken on his petition/letter dated 07/06/2014 addressed to Hon'ble Home Minister.

2. Since the subject matter of the petition/letter dated 07/06/2014 of Shri Piyush Verma relates to Police-II Division, the application is being forwarded to that Division for taking necessary action. It is requested that if the subject matter pertains to any other CPIO/Public Authority, the application may be further transferred to that Authority directly, under intimation to the applicant.

3. The application fee of Rs.10/- has been received in this Ministry vide Receipt No. 30195 dated 31/07/2014, copy of which is enclosed.

Encl.as Above


(S. Samanta)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

U/c (Pers II)

5/8/14 To

SP


Director (Pers.)
Ministry of Home Affairs,
North Block,
New Delhi

Copy for information to:-

Shri Piyush Verma,
Judge,
Civil Court,
District-Jalaun at,
Orai(U.P.)

(He/She is requested to contact the above-stated CPIO/Public Authority for further information)

REGISTERED




प्र. २५,

पीयूष वर्मा,
न्यायाधीश,
जालौन स्थान उरई।

सेवा में,

केन्द्रीय जन सूचना अधिकारी,
गृह मंत्रालय भारत सरकार,
नई दिल्ली।



महोदय,

सविनय निवेदन है कि दिनांक 07.06.2014 को प्रार्थनापत्र मैंने स्पीड पोस्ट के माध्यम से माननीय गृह मंत्री श्री राजनसथ सिंह, नई दिल्ली के नाम से, श्री सन्दीप यादव डी.आई.जी., सी.आर.पी.एफ. के अनैतिक, धिनौने अपराध के संबंध में 09 फोटोग्राफ समेत विस्तृत जानकारी देते हुए प्रेषित किया था।

इस प्रार्थनापत्र के साथ मैं निर्धारित शुल्क के रूप में 10.00/-रु० के पोस्टल आर्डर और दिनांक 07.06.2014 को प्रेषित प्रार्थनापत्र के स्पीड पोस्ट की रसीद व उपरोक्त पत्र दिनांकित की 07.06.2014 फोटो प्रतिलिपि संलग्न कर रहा हूँ।

धारा-6 सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रार्थनापत्र प्रेषित किया था।

धारा-6 सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम 2005 के अंतर्गत प्रश्न है कि "मेरे प्रार्थनापत्र

दिनांकित 07.06.2014 पर क्या कार्यवाही हुई?"

श्रीमान जी से विनम्र प्रार्थना है कि मेरे उपरोक्त प्रश्न का उत्तर निर्धारित अवधि में

देने का कष्ट करें।

Asstt. Private Secretary to
Home Minister
Govt. of India, New Delhi

"सादर"

दिनांक: 10.06.2014

संलग्नक:
उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय
(पीयूष वर्मा)
न्यायाधीश,
जालौन स्थान उरई।
10-6-2014

• Receipt Details

Receipt No :	304104/2014/Office of HM	File No :	
From :	PIYUSH VERMA	Designation :	
Main Category :	General	Sub Category :	
Address :	U P	Sent Date :	09/07/14 01:54 PM
Letter Reference No :		Letter Date :	7/1/14
Subject :	COMPLAINT AGAINST SHRI SANDEEP YADAV DIG CRPF	Enclosures:	-

Movement Details

Sent By	Sent On	Sent To	Action	Remarks	Received On
NIRMALJEET SINGH KALSI, OFFICE OF JS (P-II)	09/07/14 01:54	SHANTANU, OFFICE OF DIR(PERS)	Forward -	-	-
G. SUKURAJ, Office of HM	07/07/14 02:09	NIRMALJEET SINGH KALSI, OFFICE OF JS(P- II)	Forward -		09/07/14 01:54

Subject matter
Dir(Pers)

भारतीय डाक



India Post

SP ORAI HPD <285001>
EJ261677921IN

Counter No:1, OP-Code:PA

To:CENTRAL VIOLENCE,COMMISSINOR

R K PURAM (MAIN), PIN:110066

From:PIYUSH VERMA , ORAI

Wt:50grams,

Amt:39.00 , 07/06/2014 , 13:19

Taxes:Rs.4.00<EDD(If not a holiday):00:00:00

भारतीय डाक



India Post

SP ORAI HPD <285001>

EJ261677970IN

Counter No:1, OP-Code:PA

To:RAJ NATH JILHOME MINISTER

NEW DELHI, PIN:110001

From:PIYUSH VERMA , ORAI

Wt:50grams,

Amt:39.00 , 07/06/2014 , 13:20

Taxes:Rs.4.00<EDD(If not a holiday):00:00:00

भारतीय डाक



India Post

SP ORAI HPD <285001>

EJ261677966IN

Counter No:1, OP-Code:PA

To:DIRECTR GENERAL, OF POLICE

NEW DELHI, PIN:110001

From:PIYUSH VERMA , ORAI

Wt:50grams,

Amt:39.00 , 07/06/2014 , 13:20

Taxes:Rs.4.00<EDD(If not a holiday):00:00:00

From

1

Dipankar Verma,
Judge,
Civil Court
Distt. Talain at
Orai (U.P.)

To,

Hon'ble Rajnath Ji,
Home Minister,
Govt. of India,
New Delhi

Subject - "Disclosure" within the
meaning of section 3(D)(iii) The
Whistle Blowers Protection Act, 2011, i.e.
Act no. 17 of 2014 about the Commission
of offence under section 292 of Indian
Penal Code and attempt to commit
offence of adultery within the
meaning of section 497/511 of the
Indian Penal Code by Mr. Sandeep
Yadav, Deputy Inspector General
of Police, Central Reserve Police
Force, New Delhi

Sir

Most respectfully it
is submitted that Mr. Sandeep
Yadav is in possession of
~~no~~ mobile number 88 266 39887.
He is in the habit of using
face book and whats Ap with

a large number of people especially ladies.
 For last about two months he started chatting with my wife smt. Anu Verma a house wife on her mobile number 08858575439

Judiciary is an honest institution and therefore I have limited money and resources and two teen aged daughters and a son to look after. I could not provide luxury items to my wife and Sandeep Yadav took advantage of this and allowed my wife slowly and gradually.

First he sent me photograph of his daughter which is my exhibit 1 by aforesaid mobile number to the mobile of my wife by a WhatsApp and thereafter ~~promised~~ promised to marry her ~~and~~ by divorcing his wife Chitra Yadav.

Both started chatting in the day and in the night. ~~He~~ Mr. Sandeep

thereafter sent his two photographs in Police uniform to my wife by his number to my wife's mobile by what's App. to show how handsome he is. These photographs are my exhibit 2 and 3.

Mr. Yadav kept on climbing stairs and the talks with my wife started as to how they will have intercourse with each other. Mr. Yadav sent two ~~naked~~ photographs of a male and female ~~having~~ having ~~sex~~ sexual intercourse with each other and it was decided in the chatting that both will have sexual intercourse with each other like these photographs. These photographs are my exhibit 4 and 5 and I have preserved the chatting of both in case Mr. Yadav denies it.

Mr. Sandeep Yadav there thereafter sent four photographs of his totally

naked, and he himself took⁴
his naked photographs by himself
through his own mobile, which
is clear from two of his
pictures that he ~~has~~ is taking
his naked photos by himself.

Two of these photos
are of his total ~~body~~ naked body
from face to legs and two
photographs are of his penis
in erect position. These
photographs are my exhibit
6 to 9.

Now it is clear from
the evidence being sent and
if required by investigation,
that Mr. Yadav has committed
two offences.

1. Offence of obscenity as
defined and punishable under
section 292 of Indian Penal
Code by distribution of obscene
photographs because the mobile
in which photographs (naked)
were sent by Mr. Sandeep
Yadav can be witnessed by my
two teen aged daughters and
myself at any time.

2. An offence of attempt to commit adultery within the meaning of section 497/511 of Indian Penal Code. Naked (+) photographs of Mr. Sandeep Yadav and two specially demonstrating his full erect penis, besides two photographs of ~~the~~ naked man and woman having sexual intercourse with each other, and thereafter an agreement in the chatting that both sides of them will have sexual intercourse with each other like these photographs completes the offence under section 497/511 of Indian Penal Code.

I know that my wife is equally responsible for offence of attempt of adultery but under section 497 I.P.C. woman involved is not punishable at all and even F.I.R. can not be lodged against the woman involved for the offence of 497 or 497/511 of the Indian

Penal code.

6.

Since no naked photograph has been sent my wife to Mr. Sandeep Yadav therefore she is not liable for the offence under section 292 of Indian Penal Code as well. I am not trying to conceal the role of my wife in this matter but explaining the legal position only.

I therefore most humbly pray that

(1) I must be protected from victimisation along with my family members under section 11(1) of Whistle Blowers Protection Act 2011.

(2) The identity of myself and my wife must be protected under section 13 of Whistle Blowers Protection Act.

(3) F.I.R. must be lodged under section 292 and 497/511 of Indian Penal Code after due enquiry.

(4) Mr. Yadav must be placed under suspension

at the earliest if my complaint with mine exhibits is found to be prima facie correct

(5) Stern action must be taken against Mr. Yadav so that no other high Govt. official can dare to do such illegal, immoral activity as govt servant and the females of society must be protected in future. Besides this most stern action is also required so that the image of entire "Central Reserve Police Force" may be protected and not tarnished by such individuals.

(6) I might be attacked and my family members with myself may be murdered to wipe out the evidence by Mr. Yadav or on his initiation. If I am found dead in unnatural circumstances the responsibility will be of Mr. Yadav, The Dy. Inspector General of Police, Central Reserve Police Force, New Delhi

8.

Yours faithfully

X Piyush Verma
7.6.2017

Piyush Verma,

Judge,

Civil Court

Distt. Talarn at - Oraon
U.P.

Contact no. 285001
÷ 9719601099

Copy to

1. Central vigilance
Commissioner,
New Delhi.
2. Union Home Minister,
Govt. of India,
New Delhi
3. Director General of
Police, Central Reserve
Police Force, New Delhi