

**1.45020/1/2014-Pers-II  
Government of India  
Ministry of Home Affairs  
Police.II Division**

North Block, New Delhi  
Dated the 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2014

**ORDER**

**Subject: - Appeal under Right to Information Act, 2005 – regarding.**

Reference is invited to appeal dated 10.05.2014 (Registration Number 60159) filed by Shri Babu K T for providing information on his RTI application dated 04.04.2014 .

2. The undersigned has gone through the case and it has been noted that the aforesaid RTI application dated 04.04.2014 was transferred under Section 6(3) of RTI, Act, 2005 to the CPIO, CRPF, Directorate vide this Ministry's letter of even No.I.45020/01/2014-Pers.II dated 15.04.2014, for giving reply/ information directly to the applicant, as the requisite action was falling in the domain of CRPF. The CPIO, CRPF, Directorate vide her letter dated 24.04.2014 (Copy enclosed) has given a reply to him.

3. Since, the CPIO, CRPF has already sent a reply to the applicant vide aforementioned letter dated 24.04.2014, no action seems to be pending at this stage. However, the applicant may file appeal with the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority, CRPF, if he is not satisfied with the reply furnished by the CPIO, CRPF.

4. The appeal is disposed off accordingly.

**Encls : As Above**



**(Dr.Nirmaljeet Singh Kalsi)**  
Joint Secretary (Police-II) & Appellate Authority  
Ph.23092478

To

**Shri Babu K T ,  
Kaitholil House, Ezhacherry PO, Pala, Kottayam,  
Kerala-686651**

**Copy for info and n/a to:-**

- 1.PS to JS(P.II)
- 2.PS to Dir(Pers)
- 3.IT Cell, MHA alongwith a copy of appeal dated 15.05.2014.

(2)

**DIRECTORATE GENERAL, C.R.P.F. CGO COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD,**  
**NEW DELHI- 110003**

(Ministry of Home Affairs)

No. M-V-256/ 2014-Adm. I/RTI

Dated, the

April, 2014

To,

Shri Babu K. T.,  
Kaitholil House,  
Ezhacherry, P.O.- Pala,  
Kottayam, Kerala- 686651.

Subject:- **INFORMATION UNDER RTI ACT-2005**

This is with reference to your application dated - 04/04/2014 received in this Directorate through MHA letter No.145020/ 01/2014 -Pers-II dated-15/04/2014.

2. After careful consideration of your application on the subject, it is intimated that,

- i) As per Section- 24 (1) of Right to Information Act, 2005, Central Police Forces as listed in the Second Schedule of the Act, have been given qualified exemption from the Act in so far as the allegations of other than those connected with Human Right Violations and Corruption are concerned. From the facts of the case mentioned in your application cited above, there appears to be no violations of Human Rights as well as facts of the case do not attract the allegations of corruption. Moreover, there is no public interest to disclose such type of information. Hence, this department is not liable to provide the information sought by you.
- ii) You are asking hypothetical questions and expecting from CPIO to answer in Yes or No. The public information officer is not supposed to create information and furnish replies of hypothetical question in yes or no as hypothetical questions sought by the applicant cannot be categorized as information under the provision of section 2(f) of RTI Act.

3. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you may prefer an appeal under section 19 of the RTI Act-2005 to the IGP(Adm), Appellate authority, Dte. Genl, CRPF, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi within 30 days from its receipt.

DIGP (Adm) & CPIO, Dte


No. M-V-256/ 2014-Adm. I/RTI

Dated, the

April, 2014

Copy to :

Shri Shantanu, Director (Pers) & CPIO, MHA, GOI, New Delhi with reference to their letter quoted ibid for information please.

  
DIGP (Adm) & CPIO, Dte

05/04/14

7

RTI Request & Appeal Management Information System(RTI-MIS)

HOME SEARCH ASSESSMENT COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM CPIO CORRESPONDENCE LETTER REPORTS UTILITY  
USER MANUAL LOGOUT

ASSESSMENT OF NEW APPEAL

Public Authority: Ministry of Home Affairs Role : Appellate Authority User : Dr. N. S. KALSI(P II)

Fields prefixed with \* are mandatory

Registration No. : MHOME/A/2014/60159 [View RTI Request Details](#)

Appeal is received by : Online Receipt

Date of Receipt : 10/05/2014

Name : Babu K T

Gender : Male

Address : KATHOLIL HOUSE, EZHACHERRY P O, PALA, KOTTAYAM, Pin:6B6651

Phone No : Details not provided

Mobile No : +91-9847123741

Email Address : babu.kt123@gmail.com

State : Kerala

Country :

RTI Request Registration No. : MHOME/R/2014/60B57

RTI Request Registration Date : 10/05/2014

Appeal Letter No. : Details not provided

Appeal Letter Date : Details not provided

Citizenship Status : Indian

Is Appellant Bellow Poverty Line? : No

Does it concern the Life or Liberty of a Person? : Details not provided.

Name of CPIO : Name of CPIO is not given.

CPIO's Order/Decision No. : Details not provided

Date of Receipt of CPIO's Order/Decision : Details not provided

Ground for Appeal : Any Other ground

Prayer or Relief Sought : Information sought vide above registration on 4/4/14 has been disposed off by transferring it to CRPF on 16/4/14. The said information is not yet received from CRPF, hence it is requested that the follow up for above questionnaire may please be initiated at your end so that I can avai the information well in time please

Reason for delay(if any) in filing this appeal : N/A

\* Please Select a Decision :

13.11

Dir/Per

UJ(Rem/1)

16/6/14

Jh SP

**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI REQUEST No.MHOME/R/2014/60857****Applicant Name**

Babu K T

**Text of Application**

Q. No. 1: Whether Chance/Option for combatisation in CRPF was offered to all non-combatised Pharmacist at the time of combatisation in CRPF in 1989 or not Q. No.2: After the combatisation of the Pharmacist whether separate gradation list for non-combatised and combatised Pharmacist are being maintained in CRPF or not Q. No 3:- After the combatisation had the unwilling Pharmacist for combatisation offered any promotional opportunities in future service Q. No. 4 :- Whether the non combatised Pharmacist were appointed in CRPF in the pay scale of Sub Inspectors or not If yes, are their further promotion to the rank of Sub Inspector or in the equivalent rank paradoxical justified Q No. 5 :- Also If the Question No. 4 is yes, is the decision of CRPF to give promotion to the same noncombatised Pharmacist in the rank of Grade-I or Grade-II against the combatised ranks of SI and Inspector as per the Order issued by the MHA during 3/2010 is justified or not Q. No 6:- After the Govt. took decision to appoint Pharmacist in CAPF including CRPF in the rank of ASI in the year 2004 all the CAPF started recruiting the Pharmacist in this rank. After the restructuring Order issued by the Govt of India(MHA) the paramedical staff in the CAPF Pharmacist should be promoted to the rank of Sub Inspector and Inspector. Please intimate as per this restructuring order whether combatised Pharmacist should only be considered for the promotion in the ranks of SI and Inspector or non combatised Pharmacist is also covered for promotion as SI and Inspector under the order issued by MHA during 3/2010. Q. No.7: Is there any non-combatised Pharmacist in CRPF, promoted to Sub Inspector (SI) or Inspector( Pharmacist). Q. No.8: If yes, under which back ground/Govt notification such non-combatised Pharmacist in CRPF are being promoted to Sub Inspector (Pharmacist) Is there any special provisions or Courses for promotion of non-combatised Pharmacist to become Sub Inspector or Inspector (Pharmacist). Please furnish No. of such beneficiaries and Govt. order concerned. Q.No. 9:- In posted strength how many SI/Pharmacist are feeded from ASI(Pharmacist) and How many Inspector(Pharmacist) are feeded from Combatised SI(Pharmacist) Q.No.10:- Please specify the age limit for superannuation of non-combatised SI(Pharmacist) or Inspector(Pharmacist) and the same for combatised ASI/SI/Inspector(Pharmacist). hQ.No.11:- Specify the total No. of posts of Pharmacist sanctioned in CRPF separately for each Rankas on date. Q.No.12:- Please issue a copy of Govt. Order for Combatisation of Pharmacist in CRPF. Q No. 13:- As conveyed by the CRPF the promotional avenues of Combatised Pharmacist goes upto the rank of Subedar Major in the Cadre Review proposal. If it is implemented, it may be intimated whether promotional rank of Subedar Major or Asstt Commandant would be more beneficial to the Govt

**Reply of Application**

Since the information sought by you pertains to CRPF as such your application is being transferred to Ms. Anupam Kulshreshtha, DIGP (Admn) and CPIO, CRPF, CGO Complex Lodhi Road, New Delhi

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1		04/04/2014	Nodal Officer	

Print

	RTI REQUEST RECEIVED			
2	REQUEST FORWARDED TO CPIO	07/04/2014	Nodal Officer	Forwarded To : SHANTANU, DIR(PERS)
3	REQUEST DISPOSED OF	16/04/2014	SHANTANU, DIR(PERS) - (CPIO)	

Print

(2)

(4)

**DIRECTORATE GENERAL, C.R.P.F. CGO COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD,**  
**NEW DELHI- 110003**

(Ministry of Home Affairs)

No. M-V-256/ 2014-Adm. I/RTI

Dated, the

April, 2014

To,

Shri Babu K. T.,  
Kaitholil House,  
Ezhacherry, P.O.- Pala,  
Kottayam, Kerala- 686651.

Subject:- **INFORMATION UNDER RTI ACT-2005**

This is with reference to your application dated – 04/04/2014 received in this Directorate through MHA letter No.1.45020/ 01/2014 -Pers-II dated-15/04/2014.

2. After careful consideration of your application on the subject, it is intimated that,

- i) As per Section- 24 (1) of Right to Information Act, 2005, Central Police Forces as listed in the Second Schedule of the Act, have been given qualified exemption from the Act in so far as the allegations of other than those connected with Human Right Violations and Corruption are concerned. From the facts of the case mentioned in your application cited above, there appears to be no violations of Human Rights as well as facts of the case do not attract the allegations of corruption. Moreover, there is no public interest to disclose such type of information. Hence, this department is not liable to provide the information sought by you.
- ii) You are asking hypothetical questions and excepting from CPIO to answer in Yes or No. The public information officer is not supposed to create information and furnish replies of hypothetical question in yes or no as hypothetical questions sought by the applicant cannot be categorized as information under the provision of section 2(f) of RTI Act.

3. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you may prefer an appeal under section 19 of the RTI Act-2005 to the IGP(Adm), Appellate authority, Dte. Genl, CRPF, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi within 30 days from its receipt.

DIGP (Adm) & CPIO, Dte


No. M-V-256/ 2014-Adm. I/RTI

Dated, the

April, 2014

Copy to :

Shri Shantanu, Director (Pers) & CPIO, MHA, GOI, New Delhi  
with reference to their letter quoted ibid for information please.

  
DIGP (Adm) & CPIO, Dte

05/04/14

1.45020/01/2014-Pers-II  
Government of India  
Ministry of Home Affairs  
Pers-II

North Block, New Delhi  
Dated the 15<sup>th</sup> April, 2014

To

Shri Babu K. T.,  
Kaitholil House, Ezhacherry PO, Pala, Kottayam,  
Kerala-686651

Subject:- **Right to Information, Act, 2005 – regarding.**

Please refer your online RTI application application dated 04.04.2014  
(Registration Number 60857) on the subject cited above.

2. Since the information sought by you pertains to CRPF as such, your application is being transferred under Section 6(3) of RTI, Act, 2005 to CPIO, CRPF for giving the reply/information to you.

3. In case you are not satisfied with this reply, the appeal in the case lies with Dr. Nirmaljeet Singh Kalsi, Joint Secretary (Police-II), MHA. The appeal may be filed within 30 days from the date of receipt of this reply.


Yours faithfully,



(Shantanu)  
Director (Pers) & CPIO  
23092933

**Copy along with a copy of application dated 04.04.2014 of Shri Babu K. T. is transferred under Section 6(3) of RTI, Act, 2005 to:-**

Ms. Anupam Kulshreshtha, DIGP(Adm) & CPIO, CRPF, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi for giving the reply directly to the applicant on above dated RTI application.



(Shantanu)  
Director (Pers) & CPIO  
23092933

Copy to :-

*Handwritten initials and date: K.T. 16/4/14*

PS to Dir(Pers) for info. & n/a

*Handwritten signature and date: Issued 16/4/2014*

11/4/2014

(2)

## RTI REQUEST DETAILS

<b>Registration No. :</b>	MH0ME/R/2014/60857	<b>Date of Receipt :</b>	04/04/2014
<b>Type of Receipt :</b>	Online Receipt	<b>Language of Request :</b>	English
<b>Name :</b>	Babu K T	<b>Gender :</b>	Male
<b>Address :</b>	KAITHOLIL HOUSE, EZHACHERRY P O. PALA. KOTTAYAM. Pin:686651		
<b>State :</b>	Kerala	<b>Country :</b>	India
<b>Phone No. :</b>	Details not provided	<b>Mobile No. :</b>	+91-9847123741
<b>Email :</b>	babu.kt123@gmail.com		
<b>Status(Rural/Urban) :</b>	Rural	<b>Education Status :</b>	Graduate
<b>Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? :</b>	No	<b>Citizenship Status :</b>	Indian
<b>Amount Paid :</b>	10	<b>Mode of Payment :</b>	Payment Gateway
<b>Mode(s) of information Supply :</b>	Hard Copy		
<b>Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person ? :</b>	Details not provided.	<b>Request Pertains to :</b>	SHANTANU, DIR (PERS)

## Information Sought :

Q. No. 1: Whether Chance/Option for combatisation in CRPF was offered to all non-combatished Pharmacist at the time of combatisation in CRPF in 1989 or not

Q. No.2: After the combatisation of the Pharmacist whether separate gradation list for non-combatished and combatised Pharmacist are being maintained in CRPF or not

Q. No 3:- After the combatisation had the unwilling Pharmacist for combatisation offered any promotional opportunities in future service

Q. No. 4 :- Whether the non combatised Pharmacist were appointed in CRPF in the pay scale of Sub Inspectors or not If yes, are their further promotion to the rank of Sub Inspector or in the equivalent rank paradoxical justified

Q No. 5 :- Also If the Question No. 4 is yes. is the decision of CRPF to give promotion to the same noncombatised Pharmacist in the rank of Grade-I or Grade-II against the combatised ranks of SI and Inspector as per the Order issued by the MHA during 3/2010 is justified or not

Q. No 6:- After the Govt. took decision to appoint Pharmacist in CAPF including CRPF in the rank of ASI in the year 2004 all the CAPF started recruiting the Pharmacist in this rank. After the restructuring Order issued by the Govt of India(MHA) the paramedical staff in the CAPF Pharmacist should be promoted to the

US (ref: 11/4/14)

2  
11/4/14



rank of Sub Inspector and Inspector. Please intimate as per this restructuring order whether combatised Pharmacist should only be considered for the promotion in the ranks of SI and Inspector or non combatised Pharmacist is also covered for promotion as SI and Inspector under the order issued by MHA during 3/2010.

Q. No.7: Is there any non-combatised Pharmacist in CRPF, promoted to Sub Inspector (SI) or Inspector( Pharmacist).

Q. No.8: If yes, under which back ground/Govt notification such non-combatised Pharmacist in CRPF are being promoted to Sub Inspector (Pharmacist) Is there any special provisions or Courses for promotion of non-combatised Pharmacist to become Sub Inspector or Inspector (Pharmacist). Please furnish No. of such beneficiaries and Govt. order concerned.

Q.No. 9:- In posted strength how many SI/Pharmacist are feeded from ASI(Pharmacist) and How many Inspector(Pharmacist) are feeded from Combatised SI(Pharmacist)

Q.No.10:- Please specify the age limit for superannuation of non-combatised SI(Pharmacist) or Inspector(Pharmacist) and the same for combatised ASI/SI/Inspector(Pharmacist).

hQ.No.11:- Specify the total No. of posts of Pharmacist sanctioned in CRPF separately for each Rankas on date.

Q.No.12:- Please issue a copy of Govt. Order for Combatisation of Pharmacist in CRPF.

Q No. 13:- As conveyed by the CRPF the promotional avenues of Combatised Pharmacist goes upto the rank of Subedar Major in the Cadre Review proposal. If it is implemented, it may be intimated whether promotional rank of Subedar Major or Asstt Commandant would be more beneficial to the Govt

Print

Close