

Pers-I/45015/02/2011-Pers-I  
Government of India/Bharat Sarkar  
Ministry of Home Affairs/Grih Mantralaya

North Block, New Delhi-01 dated 13.02.2014

To

Shri Anil Kumar,  
B-166, Town Ship Colony,  
NTPC Sipat, P.O Ujwal Nagar,  
Distt. Bilaspur,  
Chattisgarh, Pin- 495555.

Sub : Your Appeal dated 30.12.2013 w.r.t. RTI application dated 24.10.2013.


Sir,

Please refer to your appeal dated 31.12.2013 submitted with reference to your RTI application dated 24.10.2013. In your RTI application you have sought following information:-

भारत सरकार वित्त मंत्रालय की व्यय विभाग की एम्पलीमेंट शाखा की पं० सं० F-No 1/1/2008-IC dated 13 Nov 2009, भारत सरकार, गृह मंत्रालय केन्द्रीय आर्मड पुलिस फोर्स क्यों नहीं, 5 वर्ष बाद भी लागू कर पर रही है /किया गया है/उपरोक्त सूचना उपलब्ध करवाने की कृपा करे ।

2. The CPIO vide his letter No. 45015/02/11-Pers-I dated 24.12.2013 have forwarded you a copy of MHA communication No. 27012/01/CF-115123/2012-PF.I dated 18.12.2013 and DoPT O.M dated 01.06.2009. You were informed that the information sought is in the form of the reason why a certain thing was done or not done in the sense of justification. The same is not included as information defined in RTI Act vide the judgment dated 03.04.2008 of the High Court of Bombay at GOA in Writ Petition No. 419 of 2007 in the case of Dr. Celsa Pinto Vs Goa State Information Commission & DOPT O.M No. 1/7/2009-IR dated 01.06.2009. The relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-


*"The definition of information cannot include within its fold answers to the question 'why' which would be same thing as asking the reason for a justification for a particular thing. The public information authorities cannot expect to communicate to the citizen the reason why a certain thing was done or not done in sense of a justification because the citizen makes a requisition about information. Justification are matter within the domain of adjudicating authorities and cannot properly be classified as information."*



3. The information sought by you does not fall within the ambit of information defined in RTI Act.
4. Your appeal is devoid of merit and is disposed off accordingly.

~~End As stated~~

Yours faithfully,



(Dr. N.S. Kalsi)

JS (P-II) & Appellate Authority

Copy to: - DS (Pers.I) & CPIO – for information please.

R-408/4E-2/14  
5/2/14

Ministry of Home Affairs  
Pers-II

R.240/123/pers-2/1425  
5/2/14

S.LNO.85(R)


FR is an appeal dated 30.12.2013 (received on 10.01.2014) filed by Shri Anil Kumar, Ex/SI, CISF for providing information on his RTI application dated 18-24/10/2013.

L P-13/c

2 In this connection it is submitted that earlier the applicant vide aforesaid RTI application (F/A) had sought certain information relating to 6<sup>th</sup> Central Pay Commission. As the CISF is dealt with by Pers.I desk, MHA. Therefore, the said RTI application was transferred under Section 6 (3) of RTI Act, 2005 to the DS(Pers-I) & CPIO, MHA vide letter No.I-45020/1/2013-Pers-II dated 6.12.2013 (F/B) for giving the reply/information to the applicant. The applicant has now made an appeal that he has not been given correct information

L P-16/c

3. As such, the matter/RTI application relates to Pers-I Section, we may transfer/forward the instant appeal to DS (Pers.I) desk & CPIO, MHA for taking appropriate action.

  
(R.P. Sati)  
US(Pers-II)  
03.02.2014

Dir(Pers)

May U. deal with the 1<sup>st</sup> appeal as the RTI request was transferred to Pers.I being related to CISF

la  
4/2  
Soldar  
06/2/14  
R.S.V

DS(Pers-I)

  
4/2

सेवा में,

(9)

श्रीमान डा० निर्मलजीत सिंह कालसी महोदय,  
संयुक्त सचिव (फुलिस-II)  
भारत सरकार - गृह मंत्रालय  
नाथ डलाक - नई दिल्ली - 110001


LI 2  
Amber

850

15 (Pen 1)

6.1.14

Dir (Pen)



विषय + पत्र सं० 45020/1/2013-पर्स-II दिनांक-6.12.2013

महोदय,  
आपने दिनांक 24.10.2013 को एक पत्र के माध्यम से भारत-सरकार, गृह मंत्रालय के डिप्टी आर्डी फुलिस कोर्स में उप-निरीक्षक/समान्य के वेतनमान के सम्बन्ध में गृह-मंत्रालय को एक पत्र RTI-Act 2005 के तहत लिखा था जिसमें यह जानकारी माँगी थी कि पैरा मिडिलरी कोर्स में सिपाही से लेकर निरीक्षक तक के वेतनमान को एक पदनाम (पाँचवें वेतन आयोग) से अपग्रेड करके किस किया गया है और उसके बाद 1.86 से गुणित किया गया है/ और उसके बाद उनका वेतन निर्धारित किया गया है और उनकी उम्मीद के आधार पर लगभग वेतन मिल भी सके/ किन्तु उप-निरीक्षक/कापीपालन का वेतनमान PB-2 - 9300-34800 तथा ग्रेड पे 4200/- में किस किया गया और इसी में सीवीआर का ए.ए.ए. में उप निरी/कापी का मूल वेतन 9300+4200/- से कुछ किया जाता है/ जो कि गलत है/ तथा इस सम्बन्ध में जी दिनांक 13/Nov/2009 को भारत-सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, कोल्प विभाग की सम्पलीमे-टसन-सेल ने पत्र सं० F.No-1/12008/-C दिनांक 13 Nov 2009 का परित आदेश उनके निदेशक श्री कालीक स्वप्नेना द्वारा भारत-सरकार के सभी गृह मंत्रालयों में जहाँ यह वेतनमान उपसमय से 9000 से 9000 का मौजूदा था उसे संशोधित किया गया

लगातार

18 2

23 323

और लागू किया गया किन्तु भारत-सरकार, गृह मंत्रालय में  
 CAPF में उप निरी/कार्य का वेतन मान Rs 5500-9000  
 था, उसे क्यों नहीं सुधारा गया क्या ये कमीशन कमी की उप  
 निरी/कार्य का वेतनमान किसमें नहीं दिखाया गया है। इसकी  
 सूचना प्रार्थी ने RTI के माध्यम से माँगी थी तथा भारत  
 सरकार के अनेक आदेशों की सुनिका संलग्न भी की थी जो  
 इसके सम्बन्धित थे किन्तु प्रार्थी को इसकी सही सूचना विभाग  
 (मंत्रालय) द्वारा नहीं उपलब्ध करायी गयी अतः प्रार्थी को  
 गुमराह किया जा रहा है / किया गया है -अप

अतः आपसे अपील की जाती है कि प्रार्थी को सही सूचना  
 उपलब्ध करवाने की कृपा करें।

दिनांक 30/12/13

संलग्न!

प्रार्थी Dewna  
 30/12/13

- 1) पत्र सं. 1-45020/1/2013 PERS-III
- 2) पत्र सं. I 43020/01/2013 RTI
- 3) पत्र सं. II 43020/01/2013 RTI
- 4) पत्र सं. दिनांक 24.10.13 का प्रार्थना पत्र की छापा प्रति।

EISF NO. 110203393  
 SIIEXE - AMIL KUMAR  
 e10 - EISF Control. Room  
 BANGALURU AIRPORT LIMITED  
 (BIAL) DEWNAHALLY  
 KARNATAKA - 560300

5) पत्र सं. F No. 111/2008/IC  
 भारत सरकार - वित्त मंत्रालय  
 6) इसे ये कमीशन में CAPF में  
 उप निरी/कार्य के वेतनमान  
 की सिफारिश की जा चुकी है।

7) इसे ये कमीशन में सम्बन्धित  
 वेतनमान के अन्य विभागों की  
 छापा सुनिका।

(5)  
282

F.No.1/1/2008-IC  
Government of India  
Ministry of Finance  
Department of Expenditure  
Implementation Cell

New Delhi, dated the 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2009

**OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

**Subject:** Grant of the revised pay structure of grade pay of Rs.4600 in the pay band PB-2 to posts that existed in the pre-revised scale of Rs.6500-10500 as on 1.1.2006 and which were granted the normal replacement pay structure of grade pay of Rs.4200 in the pay band PB-2.

Sixth Pay Commission recommended merger of the three pre-revised scales of Rs.5000-8000, Rs.5500-9000 and Rs.6500-10500 and replaced them by the revised pay structure of grade pay of Rs.4200 in the pay band PB-2. Vide para 2.2.21 (v) of its Report, the Commission recommended that on account of the merger of these 3 scales, some posts which constituted feeder and promotion grades would come to lie in an identical grade. The Commission gave specific recommendations in its Report granting higher grade pay of Rs.4600 to some categories of these posts. As regards the other posts, the Commission recommended that it should first be seen if the posts in these 3 scales can be merged without any functional disturbance and if possible, the same should be done. Further, the Commission recommended that in case it is not feasible to merge the posts in these pay scales on functional consideration, the posts in the scale of Rs.5000-8000 and Rs.5500-9000 should be merged with the posts in the scale of Rs.6500-10500 being upgraded to the next higher grade in the pay band PB-2 with grade pay of Rs.4600 corresponding to the pre-revised scale of Rs.7450-11500. In case a post already exists in the scale of Rs.7450-11500, the post being upgraded from the scale of Rs.6500-10500 should be merged with the post in the scale of Rs.7450-11500.

2. The above recommendation of the Sixth Pay Commission were notified vide para (ii), Section I in Parts B and C of the First Schedule to the CCS (RP) Rules, 2008. While Part B of the First Schedule to the CCS (RP) Rules relates to revised pay scales for common categories of staff, Part C notifies revised pay structure for certain posts in Ministries, Departments and Union Territories. The above provisions of the Rules specifically mentioned that upgradations in terms of para (ii) Section I may be done in consultation with Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance.

3. Consequent upon the Notification of CCS (RP) Rules, 2008, Department of Expenditure has received a large number of references from administrative ministries/departments proposing upgradation of the posts which were in the pre-revised scale of Rs.6500-10500 as on 1.1.2006 by granting them grade pay of Rs.4600 in the pay band PB-2. The matter has been considered and it has now been decided that the posts which were in the pre-revised scale of Rs.6500-10500 as on 1.1.2006 and which were granted the normal replacement pay structure of grade pay of Rs.4200 in the pay band PB-2, will be granted grade pay of Rs.4600 in the pay band PB-2 corresponding to the pre-revised scale of Rs.7450-11500 w.e.f. 1.1.2006. Further, in terms of the aforementioned provisions of CCS (RP) Rules, 2008, in case a post already existed in the pre-revised scale of Rs.7450-11500, the posts being upgraded from the scale of Rs.6500-10500 should be merged with the post in the scale of Rs.7450-11500.

4. Accordingly, in terms of Rule 6 of CCS (RP) Rules, 2008, revised pay of Government servants in the pre-revised scale of Rs.6500-10500 who were earlier granted grade pay of Rs.4200 and who have already exercised their option for drawal of pay in the revised pay structure in the format prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Rules, will be fixed again in accordance with illustration 4A annexed to CCS (RP) Rules, 2008.

5. In case of all such Government servants in the pre-revised scale of Rs.6500-10500 who were earlier granted grade pay of Rs.4200 and who had opted to have their pay fixed under CCS (RP) Rules, 2008, action as prescribed in this Department's O.M. of even number dated 30<sup>th</sup> August, 2008 will be taken. ~~In case a Government servant desires to revise his earlier option for coming over to the revised pay structure, he may be permitted to do so without making any reference to this Department.~~

6. On account of pay fixation in the revised pay structure of grade pay of Rs.4600 in the pay band PB-2, arrears of pay will be recalculated and difference of arrears in respect of the entire amount will be paid immediately. The manner of drawal of arrears has already been indicated in this Department's O.M. of even number dated 30.8.2008.

7. Hindi version will follow.

  
(ALOK SAXENA)  
DIRECTOR

To

~~All Ministries/Departments of Government of India and others (as per standard list).~~

2008

13 Votes

The recommendations concerning Central Paramilitary Forces (CPMFs) are covered under Ministry of Home Affairs in Chapter 7.19 of the Sixth CPC report. The CPMFs comprise of the following 7 outfits.

**Assam Rifles** which originated as Cachar Levy in 1835 is presently having more than 63000 personnel (46 Battalion). The force working under operational Control of Army guards Indo Myanmar border.

The **Border Security Force** (157 battalions) has been deployed on international borders since 1965 and also in anti insurgency operations.

The **Central Industrial Security Force** (CISF) created in 1969 has more than 1 Lakh personnel deployed for guarding Airports (54), PSU Establishments (269) and several Govt. Buildings (49) including North Block, Part of South Block and CGO complex. It also provides technical consultancy for security and related matters.

**Central Reserve Police Force (CRPF)** (201 Battalions) having replaced Crown Representative Police of pre independence era is the oldest CPMF. The personnel from this force are engaged in major anti insurgency/ terrorism / militancy related operations in the country.

**Indo Tibetan Border Police** (ITBP) assists in Disaster Management related work in Central & Western Himalayan Regions and also in providing security cover for Kailash Mansarovar Yatra.

**National Security Guard (NSG)** tackles high risk security operations. The personnel are drawn from Army (as Special Action Group) and CPMFs (as Special Rangers Group). The NSG commandos provide security cover for VIPs and take charge of security on special occasions.

**Sashastra Sena Bal** which started as **Special Services Bureau (SSB)** in 1963 is deployed along Indo Nepal & Bhutan border covering stretch of more than 1900 kms in 20 Districts.

The major demand from CPMFs for being given **status of organized Group A Services** has not been agreed to on the ground that these services do not fulfill the pre requisites for such declaration as fixed by MHA. In support of it's recommendations the Commission has pointed out that substantial number of posts in these forces including those in pay scale of 18400-22400 are filled up by drawing officers on deputation from IPS and also pointed out that many intermediate grades do not exist in the hierarchy of these organizations. The Sixth CPC has also reiterated it's philosophy of avoiding creation of too many services.



The following pay structure has been recommended for non gazetted executive cadres of CPMFs.

278  
②

Designation	Present pay scale	Recommended pay scale	Corresponding Pay Band & Grade Pay	
			Pay Band	Grade Pay
Constable	3050-590	3200-4900	PB-1	2000
Head Constable	3200-4900	4000-6000	PB-1	2400
Assistant Sub Inspector	4000-6000	4500-7000	PB-1	2800
Sub Inspector	5500-9000	6500-10500	PB-2	4200
Inspector	6500-10500	7450-11500	PB-2	4600
Subedar Major	6500-10500 + Rs.200 p.m.	7500-12000	PB-2	4800

Simultaneously the appointment pay of Rs.200 p.m. attached to the post of Subedar Major shall stand withdrawn.

Commission has also noted that except for CISF all CPMFs are not filling up posts of ASI in the executive cadre. This step being contrary to order Dt.10/10/97 is affecting the structural parity within the forces. It has suggested that all CPMFs should continue the post of ASI since this is a functional necessity.

Other recommendations made by the Commission include payment of ration money at par with Armed Forces to existing beneficiaries and doubling of the rates for Detachment Allowance (without linkage to DA). Rates of Kit Maintenance Allowance are proposed to be doubled with increase of 25% after every hike up to 50% in DA. The rates of Uniform Grant are recommended as Rs.13000/- (initial) and Rs.6000/- (renewal-every 3 yrs).

For taking care of housing needs, facility of Compensation in lieu of Quarters (CILQ) has been recommended for all personnel in SO grade also. Commission has also recommended a new Family Accommodation Allowance at the lowest rate of HRA payable to all CPMF personnel who do not qualify for benefit HRA or CILQ or rent free accommodation for reason of their stay in barracks. This will also be a DA linked Allowance.

Commission has rejected the demand of one month's extra pay for CPMFs having benefit of 60 days earned leave in a year on the ground that the personnel are already compensated by this 30 days extra leave.

Another major change suggested is for stopping further recruitments to the cadre of followers and absorbing the existing cadres to -1S pay band with the objective of converting them to Gr.C combatant staff after suitable training. It has been suggested that all non essential jobs handled by followers should be outsourced.

Recommendations also suggest that the practice of attaching Constables (Suraksha Sahayaks) / combatants at the residence of Officers for attending to Telephone calls or other such personal responsibilities should be stopped. If any cases of violations of these instructions are found, the salary of constables deployed should be recovered from the Officer concerned. The report states that if any posts are required for such personal tasks the appropriate sanction should be taken and the jobs should be outsourced.

Commission has also not agreed to creation of certain additional posts demanded by CPMFs for creating promotional avenues on the ground that there should also be functional justification for posts. It has felt that the provision of ACPs and the system of Running Pay Bands would minimize the grievances of such nature.

On the positive side the Commission has recommended the merger of the post of Addl DIG with that of DIG (16400-200000). It has recommended that all posts upto the rank of DIG and 50% posts in the grade of IG and equivalent should be filled by promotion from officers of CPMF.

The following pay structure has been recommended for non gazetted executive cadres of CPMFs. Page 20

Commission has also noted that except for CISF all CPMFs are not filling up posts of ASI in the executive cadre. This step being contrary to order Dt.10/10/97 is affecting the structural parity within the forces. It has suggested that all CPMFs should continue the post of ASI since this is a functional necessity.

Other recommendations made by the Commission include payment of ration money at par with Armed Forces to existing beneficiaries and doubling of the rates for Detachment Allowance (without linkage to DA). Rates of Kit Maintenance Allowance are proposed to be doubled with increase of 25% after every hike up to 50% in DA. The rates of Uniform Grant are recommended as Rs.13000/- (initial) and Rs.6000/- (renewal-every 3 yrs).

For taking care of housing needs, facility of Compensation in lieu of Quarters (CILQ) has been recommended for all personnel in SO grade also. Commission has also recommended a new Family Accommodation Allowance at the lowest rate of HRA payable to all CPMF personnel who do not qualify for benefit HRA or CILQ or rent free accommodation for reason of their stay in barracks. This will also be a DA linked Allowance.

Commission has rejected the demand of one month's extra pay for CPMFs having benefit of 60 days earned leave in a year on the ground that the personnel are already compensated by this 30 days extra leave.

Another major change suggested is for stopping further recruitments to the cadre of followers and absorbing the existing cadres to -1S pay band with the objective of converting them to Gr.C combatant staff after suitable training. It has been suggested that all non essential jobs handled by followers should be outsourced.

Recommendations also suggest that the practice of attaching Constables (Suraksha Sahayaks) / combatants at the residence of Officers for attending to Telephone calls or other such personal responsibilities should be stopped. If any cases of violations of these instructions are found, the salary of constables deployed should be recovered from the Officer concerned. The report states that if any posts are required for such personal tasks the appropriate sanction should be taken and the jobs should be outsourced.

303

No.1/7/2009-IR  
Government of India  
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions  
Department of Personnel & Training

North Block, New Delhi  
Dated: the 1<sup>st</sup> June, 2009

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Decision dated 3.4.2008 of the High Court of Bombay at Goa in Writ Petition No.419 of 2007 in the case of Dr. Celsa Pinto Vs. Goa State Information Commission regarding information under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

\*\*\*\*\*

The undersigned is directed to say that the High Court of Bombay at Goa in the above referred case has held on 3.4.2008 that the term 'information' as defined in the Right to Information Act does not include answers to the questions like 'why'. The relevant part of the judgement is reproduced below:

"The definition of information cannot include within its fold answers to the question "why" which would be same thing as asking the reason for a justification for a particular thing. The public information authorities cannot expect to communicate to the citizen the reason why a certain thing was done or not done in the sense of a justification because the citizen makes a requisition about information. Justifications are matter within the domain of adjudicating authorities and cannot properly be classified as information."

2. This may be brought to the notice of all concerned.



(K.G. Verma)  
Director  
Tel: 23092158

1. All the Ministries / Departments of the Government of India
2. Union Public Service Commission/ Lok Sabha Sectt./ Rajya Sabha Secretariat/ Cabinet Secretariat/ Central Vigilance Commission/ President's Secretariat/ Vice-President's Secretariat/ Prime Minister's Office/ Planning Commission/Election Commission.
3. Central Information Commission/State Information Commissions.
4. Staff Selection Commission, CGO Complex, New Delhi
5. O/o the Comptroller&Auditor General of India, 10, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
6. All officers/Desks/Sections, DOP&T and Department of Pension & Pensioners Welfare.

Copy to: Chief Secretaries of all the States/UTs.

305

No-45015/02/11-Pers-I  
Government of India/Bharat Sarkar  
Ministry of Home Affairs/Grih Mantaralaya  
North Block, New Delhi-01

24/12  
Dated the December, 2013

To  
Shri Anil Kumar Verma  
B-166, Town Ship Colony,  
NTPC Sipat, P.O Ujwal Nagar,  
Distt. Bilaspur, Chattisgarh,  
Pin- 495555

S.L No 89(I)

**SUB :- Your RTI application dated 07.11.2013.**

Sir,

Please refer to your RTI application dated 24.10.2013 seeking information under RTI ACT, 2005.

2. A copy of MHA communication dated II-27012/01/CF-115123/2012-PF.I dated 18.12.2013 is enclosed herewith.

3. The Appellate Authority in this Regard is Dr. N.S. Kalsi, Joint Secretary (P-II), Room No. 171-B, MHA, North Block, New Delhi-01.

Encl: as stated.


Yours faithfully,



(HARISH CHANDER)  
DEPUTY SECRETARY (PERS-I) & CPIO

Copy to :-

PA to Dy. Secretary (Pers-I).



R. 3434/4E-2/13 (RTI-R) R. 1659/08/13  
18/12/13

18/12/13

304

II-27012/01/CF-115123/2012-PF.I  
Ministry of Home Affairs  
Police-II Division  
(PF.I Desk)  
\*\*\*\*\*

Dated 18/12/13 Dec, 2013

Sub: Application of Shri Anil Kumar Verma under RTI Act, 2005.

This is with reference to MHA ID Note No.45015/02/11-Pers-I dated 16.12.2013 and I-45020/1/2013-Pers-II dated 16.12.2013 under Right to Information Act, 2005 filed by Shri Anil Kumar Verma.

2. In RTI Application, applicant has sought information in the form of the 'reason why a certain thing was done or not done in the sense of a justification'. In this regard, the judgment dated 03.04.2008 of the High Court of Bombay at Goa in Writ Petition No.419 of 2007 in the case of Dr. Celsa Pinto Vs. Goa State Information Commission regarding Information under the Right to Information Act, 2005 conveyed by DoPT vide O.M No.1/7/2009-IR dated 01.06.2009 may be referred (copy enclosed), which is exempted from RTI purview. The relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:

*"The definition of information cannot include within its fold answers to the question 'why' which would be same thing as asking the reason for a justification for a particular thing. The public information authorities cannot expect to communicate to the citizen the reason why a certain thing was done or not done in the sense of a justification because the citizen makes a requisition about information. Justification are matter within the domain of adjudicating authorities and cannot properly be classified as information."*

4  
18/12/13  
S.K.?  
18/12/13  
R.S.K.

M.C.P.  
18/12/13  
(Madhukar Pandey)  
Section Officer  
Tele : 23092214  
Fax : 23092167  
E-mail : [sopf1@nic.in](mailto:sopf1@nic.in)

To:  
➤ DS (Pers-I)  
➤ US (Pers-II)

S. V. J. (Sb. I)

\*\*\*

SUB :- Information sought by Shri Anil Kumar under RTI Act, 2005.

PF may please find enclosed RTI application dated 24.10.2013 submitted by Shri Anil Kumar Verma under RTI Act, 2005 seeking information regarding implementation of merger of three pre-revised scales of Rs. 5000-8000, Rs 5500-9000 and Rs 6500-10500 in the new pay scale of Rs 7450-11500.

2. Dir (PF) is requested to furnish information available with them urgently to furnish the same to the applicant please.

Encl : As above.

( HARISH CHANDER )  
DEPUTY SECRETARY(Pers-I) & CPIO

DIR(PF), MHA, North Block, New Delhi.  
MHA ID Note No. 45015/02/11-Pers-I dated 16.12.2013.

14/12

No.I-45020/1/2013-Pers-II  
Government of India  
Ministry of Home Affairs  
Pers-II

16  
321  
~~16~~

North Block, New Delhi  
Dated the 6.12.2013

To ✓

Shri Anil Kumar,  
B-166, Township Colony,  
NTPC, Sipat, PO: Ujjawal Nagar,  
District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh -495555

Subject:-Application under right to information Act, 2005 – 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission report-regarding.

Sir,

Please refer to your RTI application dated 18.10.2013 received through RTI Cell, MHA vide their OM dated 27.11.2013 on the subject cited above.

2. Since the information sought by you pertains to CISF as such, your above dated RTI application is being transferred to CPIO, concerned under Section 6(3) of RTI Act, 2005 for giving reply/information to you.

3. In case you are not satisfied with the reply, the appeal in the case lies with Dr. Nirmaljeet Singh Kalsi, Joint Secretary (Police-II), MHA, North Block, New Delhi. The appeal may be filed within 30 days from the date of receipt of this reply.

Yours faithfully



(Shantanu)  
Director (Pers) & CPIO  
23092933

Copy along with RTI application 18.10.2013 in respect of Shri Anil Kumar is transferred under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to:-

1. Shri Harish Chander, Deputy Secretary (Pers-1) & CPIO, MHA, New Delhi for giving the reply directly to the applicant.

2. Shri S.Samanta, Under Secretary, RTI Cell, North Block, MHA, New Delhi with reference to his OM dated 27.11.2013.



(Shantanu)  
Director (Pers) & CPIO  
23092933

R-3383/पुन-3/13 (RTI(N))  
13/12/13

सं. ए-43020/01/2013-आर टी आई

भारत सरकार  
गृह मंत्रालय

\*\*\*\*

नई दिल्ली, दिनांक: 12/10/2013

S.L NO 85CR)

कार्यालय जापन

विषय : सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के तहत श्री/श्रीमती/श्री... का आवेदन।  
श्रीमती कुमारी

\*\*\*\*\*

अधोहस्ताक्षरी को सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के तहत सूचना मुहैया कराने के संबंध में श्री/श्रीमती/श्री... के दिनांक: 24/10/2013 के आवेदन (इस मंत्रालय में ~~से अंतरित~~ दिनांक: 28/10/2013 को प्राप्त) को पुनर्विचार प्रभाग को अर्पित करने का निर्देश हुआ है, क्योंकि मांगी गई सूचना उक्त प्रभाग के क्रियाकलापों से संबंधित है/निकट रूप से संबंध रखती है। यह अनुरोध किया जाता है कि यदि विषय-वस्तु का संबंध किसी अन्य केन्द्रीय लोक सूचना अधिकारी/लोक प्राधिकारी से है, तो आवेदक को सूचित करते हुए आवेदन को सीधे उस प्राधिकारी को अर्पित/अंतरित कर दिया जाये।

2. आवेदक ने 10/- रु. का निर्धारित शुल्क दिनांक: 29/10/2013 की रसीद सं. 27289 के तहत जमा कर दिया है (संलग्न) नहीं किया है क्योंकि वह टी पी एन श्रेणी से संबंध रखता/रखती है।

सु. सामंत  
(एस. सामंत)

अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार

सेवा में	(i) 103213 (कॉपी 3)	(ii) सुधीर (कॉपी 3)	Submits from DILPFI
	गृह मंत्रालय, नई दिल्ली	गृह मंत्रालय, नई दिल्ली	1/11/13
प्रति सूचनार्थ प्रेषित :	श्री/श्रीमती/श्री... श्रीमती कुमारी		12/10/13
	जी-166 नगर-पराम-कुशी		
	पुनर्विचार प्रभाग - उपजागरा		

(उनसे अनुरोध है कि इस मामले में अतिरिक्त सूचना प्राप्त करने के लिए उपरवर्णित केन्द्रीय लोक सूचना अधिकारी/लोक प्राधिकारी से संपर्क करें।)


फोन - 26114471 (दृ. गं.)  
फोन - 495555



सेवा में,

①

254



श्रीमान कैन्द्रीप जन सुचना अधिकारी

भारत सरकार - गृह मंत्रालय

कैन्द्रीप आर्म्ड पुलिस फोर्स

जाय ब्लॉक - कैन्द्रीप सचिवालय

नई दिल्ली - 110001

7426/RF/013  
10/12/13

विषय :- सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम 2005 के अन्तर्गत सूचना प्राप्त करने के सम्बन्ध में प्राथमिक पत्र

महोदय,

भारत सरकार, वित्त-मंत्रालय, टपप विभाग की दम्प लीमै-2-सेल के पत्र सं० F. MO 1/1/2008-IC दिनांक 13 Nov. 2009 का संदर्भ प्राचनीय है।

1) उपरोक्त पत्र में उल्लिखित पत्र सं० F. MO 1/1/2008-IC दिनांक 13 Nov 2009 के अनुसार भारत सरकार वित्त-मंत्रालय, टपप विभाग ने 6 वें वेतन आयोग में तब वेतनमानों को जो ₹ 5000 - 8000, ₹ 5500 - 9000 तथा ₹ 6500 - 10500/- को इन्क्रीड में दर्ज करके नए वेतन स्केल ₹ 7450 - 11500/- में फिक्स करने का आदेश वित्त मंत्रालय-टपप विभाग के निदेशक श्री आरौक वसुदेन द्वारा दिनांक 13 Nov 2009 को पास करके भारत सरकार के सभी मंत्रालयों एवं विभागों को दिया था जो कि इस नए वेतनमान को ₹ 9300 - 34800 तथा PB-2 में रखा गया है। और इस आदेश को भारत सरकार के अधिकांश विभागों ने जहाँ यह वेतनमान मौजूद था ने मान लिया और लागू भी कर दिया / परिपत्र संलग्न है। किन्तु भारत सरकार गृह मंत्रालय में जो कैन्द्रीप आर्म्ड

Dir(Pers)  
DS(Pers)

- छलिस फोर्स में बस आदेश का पालन नहीं किया गया  
जिसके जो Rs. 55000 - 90000 का स्केल था उसे Rs. 50000  
- 80000 के बतन स्केल में फिक्स किया जा गलत था/है

2) छठवें बतन अपीग में वेस भी केद्रीय आर्म्ड छलिस फोर्स  
के पुलिस पद को उतरे आगले पद में अपग्रेड किया गया  
है तथा जब पंल सं० F.No. 1/1/2008-IC Dated 13 Nov.  
2009 के आदेश को भारत सरकार - गृह मंत्रालय ने आज  
तक लागू नहीं किया जबकि उसमें लिखा था कि तत्काल  
मुमकिन हो जाये और आज पूर्व पर ही गये लेकिन  
कार्रवाई zero है/

अतएव उपरोक्त पैरा न० 1 व 2 में दी गयी जानकारी  
पर ध्यान केन्द्रित करके छुपे पक सूचना उपलब्ध करवाने  
की कृपा करे कि भारत सरकार वित्त मंत्रालय की  
व्यप विभाग की सम्पत्ति सं- शाख की पं० सं० F.No 1/1/2008  
-IC Dated 13 Nov 2009, भारत-सरकार, गृह-मंत्रालय  
के केंद्रीय आर्म्ड छलिस फोर्स क्यों नहीं, पूर्व बाद भी लागू  
कर पर रही है/ किया गया है/ उपरोक्त सूचना उपलब्ध करवाने  
की कृपा करे/

सध्य-पवाद/

पूर्णा

दिनांक - 24/10/13  
स्थान - MTPC SIPA.

- 1) संलग्न - 1 माफिक संकेत न० 13F-679080
- 2) पल सं० F.No. 1/1/2008-IC  
Govt. of India. Min of Finance
- 3) Sixth CPC Report (CPME)

नाम - अनिल कुमार वर्मा  
पता - बी-166 नगरपरिसर  
कालोनी रंगोटी पीठली  
पो उज्जवल नगर सीपत  
जिला बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)  
495555.