

F No. 14033/9/2006-UTS.I भारत सरकार/Government of India गृह मंत्रालय/Ministry of Home Affairs

North Block, New Delhi, Dated yth April 2014

(I.S. Chahal)

Joint Secretary (UT) & Appellate Authority

Sub:- Request to withdraw MHA's illegal order No. F No. 14033/9/2006 UTS-I dated 27th December 2013 regarding.

With reference to the RTI Appeal dated 14.3.2014 filed by Shri K. Narasimha, the Appellant is informed that the RTI application dated 15.2.2014 and reply given by the CPIO and his appeal dated 14.3.20104 have been considered.

- 2. As regards the letter/representation dated 7.2.2014 filed by Sh. Narasimha is concerned, the Appellant is informed that the same has been considered and decided by the competent authority. Decision of this Ministry has been conveyed to the applicant/appellant vide letter dated 2nd April 2014 (Copy enclosed).
- 3. Further, the CPIO is being advised to mention the name and particulars of First Appellant Authority while sending reply under RTI Act.
- 4. As regards the request regarding inspection of file No. 14033/9/206 UTS-I is concerned, the CPIO has already provided you the opportunity to inspect the file. Hence, no intervention of Appellant Authority is required in this regard.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

То

Shri K. Narasimha, IAS (AGMUT:91), 33/34, Rajpur, Road,

Delhi-110054.

Copy to Sh. Anuj Kumar, Director (Services) and CPIO - kindly mention the name and address of the First Appellate Authority while sending reply to RTI applications.

3.7.

1/11/14

(M V)

Sri. I.S.Chhahal, Joint Secretary (UT), Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India,

North Block, NEW DELHI.

Subject: Appeal u/s 19 (1) of Right to Information Act, 2005 w.r.t withdrawal of MHA's illegal order-regarding.

Sir,

- 1. Please refer my RTI application dated 15.02.2014 and MHA reply letter No. 14039/11/2014 UTS-I dated 12th march, 2014. In my RTI application I sought information on what action has been taken by the MHA on my letter dated 07.02.2014 addressed to Additional Secretary (UT), whereas Sri. Anuj Sharma, CPIO knowingly with malafied intensions given the wrong information.
- 2. It is mandatory on part of CPIO to give name & address of first appellant authority to enable to file an appeal u/s 19 (1) of Right to Information Act, 2005, whereas Sri. Anuj Sharma, CPIO, again knowingly with malafied intensions did not give the said information. It is also violation of the Right to Information Act, 2005.
- 3. Kindly refer my letter dated 07.02.2014 addressed to the Additional Secretary (UT), which is self explanatory (copy enclosed). It is proved beyond reasonable doubt that my initial suspension order F.No.14033/9/2006-UTS.I dated 14.11.2006, subsequent extension of suspension orders and latest order F No.14033/9/2006-UTS.I dated 27th December 2013, all orders issued by MHA are is invalid, illegal, null and void, non-est and bad in Law. What action has been taken on my letter above? I would like to inspect the F.No.14033/9/2006-UTS.I and seek copies of note sheets right from November 2006.

4. In view of above submission I appeal to you, kindly to withdraw said illegal order immediately in the interest of Rule of Law and provide all information as requested.

Delhi, dated 14.03.2014

(K. Narasimha) IAS

Yours faithfully,

33/34, Rajpur Road, Delhi-54

50/1/3/2 20/3/14 Sh. fail