No.17015/25/2014-IS.I Government of India Ministry of Home Affairs (IS-I Division)

North Block, New Delhi-110001, dated the 27.08.2014.

To,

Sh. Anil Bairwal B-1/6, Hauz Khas New Delhi-110016

Subject: Application seeking information under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sir,

I am to refer to the Hon'ble Central Information Commission's Order dated 25.07.2014 in the case No.CIC/SS/C/2013/000032/VS/07360 in reference to your appeal dated 05.12.2012 enclosing there with a copy of your application dated 29.8.2011. CPIO & Under Secretary (DP) vide their letter No.14036/113/2012-UTP dated 20.08.2014 has forwarded it to all CPIOs of this Ministry including the undersigned CPIO (received on 25.08.2014) for providing information related to Point No.5 to 7 of your RTI application in compliance to direction's of CIC.

- 2. In this regard, it is informed that the information sought by you in point no. 5 to 7 of your application dated 29.08.2011 may be treated as 'nil', as the matter does not pertains to the undersigned CPIO.
- 3. Under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, an appeal can be filed within 30 days from the date of receipt of the reply, before the first Appellate Authority, whose particulars are given below:

Shri Rakesh Singh, Joint Secretary ([S-I], Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi-110 001.

Yours sincerely,

(Rajesh Kumar Gupta) Director (IS-III & CPIO) MHA, North Block, New Delhi

Copy to: SO, IT Cell with the request to upload the RTI application along with its reply on the website of this Ministry.

No. 14036/113/2012-UTP Government of India Ministry of Home Affairs

MOST IMMEDIATE RTI MATTER

North Block, New Delhi Dated the Lot Aug 2014

To

Shri Anil Bairwal B-1/6, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110016

Subject: Furnishing information under RTI 'Act, 2005 regarding Case No.CIC/SS/C/2013/000032/VS/07360 received from Hon'ble Information Commissioner, CIC.

Sir.

I am directed to refer to the Hon'ble Central Information Commission's Order dated 25.07.2014 in the case No.CIC/SS/C/2013/000032/VS/07360.

As far as this CPIO is concerned, 3 requests from Delhi Police seeking permission were received which were not acceded to as conveyed vide this Ministry's letter No.16014/08/2011-UTP dated 21 July 2011 (copy enclosed). Also, at present no request from Delhi Police etc. seeking such permission is pending with this CPIO.

Yours faithfully,

Encl: as above

('lil\_Naia (Chitra Narayan)

CPIO & Under Secretary (DP)

Shri Vijai Sharma, Hon'ble Information Commissioner, Information Commission, Room No.4, Club Building, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi-110067 - for information in compliance with order in case No.CIC/\$\$/C/2013/000032/VS/07360 dated 25.7.2014.

All CHOs of MHA- A copy of RTI application of Shri Anil Bairwal dated 16.09.2011 and Hon'ble CIC order dated 25.07.2014 are enclosed with the request to furnish information (including nil information) directly to the

applicant, as directed by Howble CIC.

Alsonald with Dealing hand in orp section.

Date 16.59, 2011 have them moderated application is Dace of 29, 82011, Enclosure l'e application à

Letter No. ADR07/RTI/HMin/01

To, The CPIO
Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block
Central Secretariat
New Delhi - 110 001

Phone: 23092161,23092011 Fax: 23093750, 23092763

Subject: Request under the RTI Act 2005.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Under the RTI Act 2005 the following information is sought from your kind office.

1. Under what rules Delhi police sought MHA's permission to <u>interrogate Amar Singh</u> as per recent news reports?

wif 片形剂 w Deini-1

- 2. Kindly give us a copy of the Rules under which the Police is required to do so.
- 3. Are there any guidelines to be followed by the MHA in granting such permissions? Please give us a copy of any such rules/guidelines.
- 4. How many times has the Delhi Police, CBI or anyone else approached MHA for these types of a permission in last 10 years?

How many such permissions were granted and in what time and how many were not granted and reasons thereof.

- How many such requests for investigation/prosecution of elected representatives are pending with the Home Ministry at present?
- 7. Please provide us the details of the same (point 6).

The request is being made as per the provisions in Section 6 of the RTI Act 2005. A postal order of Rs.10/- (Rupees ten only), bearing No. 92E 387144 has been attached towards payment of the application fee as required. Kindly intimate me any additional fee payable. I would like to receive the aforesaid information by registered post in my office address given below. We look forward to your cooperation.

Your kind co-operation and prompt response in this matter is requested.

Thanking you in advance,

And Baisens


Anil Bairwal


National Coordinator Association for Democratic Reforms B-1/6, Hauz Khas, New Delhi- 110016

Phone - 011 40817601

29/08/2011

Dx No. 4/09 05/09 12011





Decision No.CIC/SS/C/2013/000032/VS/97360 Appeal No. CIC / SS/C/2013/000032//VS

Dated: 25.07.2014

Complainant:

Shri Anil Bairwal B-1/6, Hauz Khas New Delhi-110016

Respondent:

Central Public Information Officer/

Director Delhi, M/o H.A.

North Block

New Delhi-110001

Date of Hearing:

09.07.2014

ORDER

Pacts

1. The complainant filed an application dated 16.09.2011 under the RTI Act seeking information regarding the reason for seeking permission from MHA to interrogate Shri Amar Singh by Delhi Police, rules pertaining to Delhi Police, guidelines to be followed by MHA in granting permission, details of permission given in similar cases, etc. CPIO responded on 16.09.2011. Copy of first appeal and FAA's order are not enclosed. Complainant filed this present appeal on 05.12.2012.

## Hearing

- 2. Respondent was present before the Commission.
- 3. Respondent referred to the RTI application of the complainant and stated that the complainant was seeking information regarding the reason for seeking permission from MHA to interrogate Shri Amar Singh by Delhi Police, rules pertaining to Delhi Police, guidelines to be followed by MHA in granting permission, details of permission given in similar cases, etc.
- 4. Respondent stated that regarding point no. 1 of the RTI application, as Shri Amar Singh was a member of Rajya Sabha, the matter was referred to the MHA as per practice and procedure applicable in such cases. Respondent stated that the MHA informed the Delhi Police about certain

