

7

No. 14036/371/2013-UTP
Government of India
Ministry of Home Affairs

North Block, New Delhi
Dated the 28th May 2013

ORDER

Subject :- Appeal filed by Smt Reema under Section 19 (1) of Right to Information Act, 2005.

Whereas, Smt Reema R/o 9/99, Second Floor, Ramesh Nagar, New Delhi-110015 has preferred her first Appeal dated 23.4.2013 (received in this section on 3.5.2013) under Section 19 (1) of Right to Information Act, 2005 for not responding to his RTI application dated 9.3.2013.

2. Whereas an RTI application of Smt Reema dated 9.3.2013 was received in this section on 25.3.2013 seeking action taken on her previous complaint/legal notice and other question/ queries. Accordingly applicant was replied vide this Ministry letter No.14036/273/2013-UTP dated 23rd April 2013 (copy enclosed).

3. Since applicant was already given the requisite reply vide our letter No. 14036/273/2013-UTP dated 23rd April 2013 and therefore, the Appeal preferred by Smt Reema is disposed off.

G/C

(K K Pathak)

Joint Secretary (UT) & Appellate Authority
Tele-23092514

To,

Smt Reema
R/o 9/99, Second Floor
Ramesh Nagar
New Delhi-110015

Issue withencd.
144/
30/5.

FIRST APPEAL UNDER THE RTI ACT, 2005

23/04/2013

THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY FOR RTI


MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

NID/RTI/2013

29/04/13

NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI



RTI

SUB:- FIRST APPEAL UNDER THE RTI ACT, 2005

I REEMA FILED AN RTI APPLICATION ON 09/03/2013 (COPY ATTACHED) ALONG WITH 52 ADDITIONAL W.R.T. THE COMPLAINT I GAVE TO YOU ON 08/JAN/2010 PAGES TO THE UNDER SECRETARY (Smt. S. SUDHA) DP-II, BUT EVEN AFTER THE STIPULATED TIME FRAME OF 30 DAYS I HAVEN'T GOT ANY REJOINDER FROM THE MHA, THEREFORE I WOULD LIKE TO APPEAL TO THE HIGHER AUTHORITY, AS PER THE RTI ACT, 2005.

1) PROVIDE THE COPY OF THE ACTION TAKEN REPORT AGAINST THE ERRING OFFICIALS OF DELHI POLICE (SUCH AS S.H.O P.S. KIRTI NAGAR, I.O. BHAGAT RAM OF P.S. KIRTI NAGAR ETC.) IN RESPECT OF MY COMPLAINT & THE LEGAL NOTICE I SENT IN DECEMBER 2008.

2) PROVIDE THE COPY OF INTERNAL VIGILANCE REPORT (BECAUSE ENQUIRY WAS CONDUCTED AGAINST ERRING POLICE OFFICIALS IN THE SAID MATTER)

3) WAS ANY ORDER/DIRECTION GIVEN TO THE S.H.O P.S KIRTI NAGAR TO TAKE ANY PUNITIVE ACTION AGAINST THE NAMED ACCUSED IN THE APPLICATION (ie, KAMAL, RAVI, KRISHAN KUMAR & MANY MORE) STATE YES OR NO.

4) IF YES (ie, ORDER/DIRECTION WAS GIVEN TO THE S.H.O) KINDLY PROVIDE THE COPY OF THAT ORDER/DIRECTION.

5) AGAIN, ON YOUR ORDER/DIRECTION SOME ACTION MUST HAVE BEEN TAKEN BY P.S KIRTI NAGAR, THEREFORE PROVIDE THE COPY OF THE ACTION TAKEN REPORT BY P.S KIRTI NAGAR.

6) I HAD MENTIONED IN MY COMPLAINT THAT VARIOUS COMPLAINTS/APPLICATIONS WERE GIVEN TO THE COMMISSIONER, DELHI POLICE AS WELL KINDLY EXPLAIN WHAT PUNITIVE WAS TAKEN/HAS BEEN TAKEN AGAINST THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE FOR INSUBORDINATING HIS DUTY. (KINDLY EXPLAIN)

7) PROVIDE THE COPY OF THE ^{DELHI} POLICE MANUAL WHICH DELINEATES & EXPLAINS "THE EXTENT OF PUNISHMENT THAT CAN BE METED OUT TO ANY DELHI POLICE OFFICIAL FOR INSUBORDINATING HIS DUTY"

8) IN DECEMBER 2008, A LEGAL NOTICE WAS SENT TO YOU BY MY COUNSEL MAJOR SIYA RAM (ON MY BEHALF), CONSEQUENTLY A LETTER WAS SENT FROM YOUR SIDE TO DCP (HQ) ON 12th FEB 2009 (VIDE YOUR FILE NO - 14040/13/2009-UTP) & AGAIN A REMINDER WAS SENT TO THE DCP (HQ) ON 18th MAY 2009 (VIDE YOUR FILE NO - 14040/13/2009-UTP). THEREFORE,

KINDLY PROVIDE THE COPY OF THE ACTION TAKEN REPORT (ie, THE REPLY GIVEN TO YOU BY THE DCP (~~WASHT~~) (HQ) OR THE POLICE COMMISSIONER W.R.T TO YOUR 2 LETTERS).

9) KINDLY TELL THE NAME OF THE CONCERNED HOME MINISTRY OFFICIAL WHO INSUBORDINATED HIS / HER DUTY AND DID NOT GAVE ANY REPLY / INFORMATION TO ME EVEN AFTER 4 YEARS WHEN I SENT LEGAL NOTICE)
(ie, THE NAME ~~OF THE~~ & DESIGNATION OF THE CONCERNED HOME MINISTRY OFFICIAL)

10) PROMPT REPLY IS SOLICITED.

REEMA
9/99, SECOND FLOOR,
RAMESH NAGAR,
NEED DELHI-15
(09871372590)
P.S. = KIRTI NAGAR